

# United States Patent and Trademark Office





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/909,581	07/20/2001	Nathan R. Brown	500200.02	3036
27076	7590 11/04/2003		EXAMINER	
DORSEY & WHITNEY LLP			GRANT, ALVIN J	
INTELLECTI SUITE 3400	JAL PROPERTY DEPART	TMENT	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1420 FIFTH A	- · ·		3723	
SEATTLE, W	VA 98101		DATE MAILED: 11/04/2001	3

13

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	09/909,581	BROWN, NATHAN R.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
The MAN INC DATE of this accommissation on	Alvin J Grant	3723			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cov r sheet	with the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may oly within the statutory minimum of will apply and will expire SIX (6) Note, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	August 2003 .				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ T	his action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-87</u> is/are pending in the application	on.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2,3 and 14-59</u> is/are		eration.			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-13 and 60-87</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b)□ objected to b	y the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ , , , , ,	I disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	• •				
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xamıner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	in priority under 35 U.S.(	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documer					
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International B</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	).			
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	tic priority under 35 U.S.	C. § 119(e) (to a provisional applicatio	n).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					
Attachment(s)					
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)</li> </ol>	5) 🔲 Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/909,581

Art Unit: 3723

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 4-7, 9-13, 60-67, 69, 70-76, 78-84, 86 and 87 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagahara et al. '375 in view of Chen et al. '968.

Referring to claims 1, 4, 7, 12, 13, 60, 61, 69, 71, 72, 78, 79, 80, 86 and 87, Nagahara et al. discloses a carrier for supporting a microelectronic substrate relative to a planarizing medium during planarization of the microelectronic substrate, the carrier comprising: a support member; and a flexible, membrane adjacent to the support member, the membrane having a first portion with a first thickness and a second portion with a second thickness greater than the first thickness, the first portion of the membrane being aligned with a first part of the microelectronic substrate when the membrane engages the microelectronic substrate, the second portion of the membrane being aligned with a second part of the microelectronic substrate, the membrane being configured to apply a first force to the substrate when the membrane engages the microelectronic substrate; the second portion of the membrane being configured to apply a second force to the substrate when the membrane engages the microelectronic substrate; the substrate being held stationary with respect to the membrane; the membrane has a first surface facing a generally flat surface of the support member and a second surface facing opposite the first surface toward the microelectronic substrate, the first surface being generally in direct contact with the flat surface of the support member; the second portion is disposed radially inwardly from the first portion; the first and second portions are adjacent to each other; the first and second portions of the membrane are radially disposed relative to each other and an intermediate thickness of the membrane varies in a generally continuous manner between the first thickness and the second thickness; the membrane having an upper Application/Control Number: 09/909,581

Art Unit: 3723

ply adjacent to the support member, and a lower ply depending downwardly from the upper ply (column 6, lines 30-35). Nagahara et al. as described above does not specifically disclose a compressible membrane. Chen et al. discloses a carries head comprising a compressible membrane so as absorb the shocks that are generated on the substrate during the polishing process. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the membrane of Nagahara et al. flexible as taught by Chen et al. so as to absorb the shocks that are generated on the substrate during the polishing process (see Figs. 1 and 2).

Referring to claims 5, 6, 10, 11, 62, 63, 64, 65, 70, 73, 74, 81 and 82, Nagahara et al. as modified does not specifically disclose the shapes and dimensions of the membrane. However, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have used the shapes and dimensions of the membrane that is necessary to effectively perform the CMP process, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233.

Referring to claims 9, 66, 67, 75, 76, 83 and 84, Nagahara et al. as modified does not specifically disclose that the membrane is made out of neoprene and silicone. However, it would have been obvious to one have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the membrane of The modified Nagahara et al. out of neoprene and silicone, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416.

Claims 8, 68, 77 and 85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagahara et al. in view of Chen et al. and in further view of Roberts et al. '268

The modified Nagahara et al. is described above. Nagahara et al. as modified does not specifically disclose a membrane made by injection molding. Roberts et al. discloses silicone rubber polishing pads made by injection molding so that the physical properties can be fine tuned. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have made the membrane of the modified Nagahara et al. by injection molding as taught by Roberts et al. so that the physical properties could have been fine tuned.

## Page 4

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 4-13, and 60-87 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J Grant whose telephone number is (703) 305-3315. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J Hail can be reached on (703) 308-2687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1184.

ajg

Joseph J. Hail, III Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 3700

Junt 7. Haits